

1 Agenda

- PH via oracles
- Time-Space Tradeoffs for SAT

2 Oracle TMs

Definition 1 An oracle TM M is a TM with a special (write-only) oracle query tape, a (read-only) oracle answer tape, and an oracle query state.

When M is run with an oracle $\mathcal{O} : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$ and goes into an oracle query state with $q \in \{0,1\}^*$ on its query tape, then $\mathcal{O}(q)$ appears on the answer tape in 1 step.

Similarly, we can define oracle NTMs, coNTMs, ATMs,...

We can define new complexity classes given an oracle \mathcal{O} : $\mathbf{P}^{\mathcal{O}}$, $\mathbf{NP}^{\mathcal{O}}$, $\mathbf{co-NP}^{\mathcal{O}}$, etc.

Remark 2 We have $\mathbf{P}^{\mathcal{O}} = \{L \subset \{0,1\}^* : L \leq_C \mathcal{O}\}$ since Cook reductions by definition are performed in deterministic polynomial time.

Remark 3 For a class \mathcal{C} of functions or oracles, we note $\mathbf{P}^{\mathcal{C}} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathcal{O}} = \mathbf{P}^{\mathcal{O}^*}$ where \mathcal{O}^* is any complete problem for \mathcal{C} (even under \leq_C). And we define $\mathbf{\Delta}_{k+1}^{\mathbf{P}} := \mathbf{P}^{\Sigma_k^{\mathbf{P}}}$.

Theorem 4 $\Sigma_{k+1}^{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{NP}^{\Sigma_k^{\mathbf{P}}}$.

Proof: We first show $\Sigma_{k+1}^{\mathbf{P}} \subseteq \mathbf{NP}^{\Sigma_k^{\mathbf{P}}}$. Given $L \in \Sigma_{k+1}^{\mathbf{P}}$, there exists a polynomial time M such that

$$x \in L \iff \exists u_1 \forall u_2 \dots Q_{k+1} u_{k+1}, M(x, u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}),$$

where the length of each u_i is bounded by some fixed polynomial. Define a new language L' which is in $\Pi_k^{\mathbf{P}}$:

$$L' := \{(x, u_1) : \forall u_2 \exists u_3 \dots Q_{k+1} u_{k+1}, M(x, u_1, \dots, u_{k+1})\} \in \Pi_k^{\mathbf{P}}.$$

We can easily give an $\mathbf{NP}^{L'}$ algorithm for L :

- Nondeterministically guess u_1 .
- Ask oracle $(x, u_1) \in L'$, and **accept/reject** accordingly.

Now we show the inclusion in the other direction: $\mathbf{NP}^{\Sigma_k^P} \subseteq \Sigma_{k+1}^P$.

Given $L \in \mathbf{NP}^{\Sigma_k^P}$, decided by some oracle NTM M (using an oracle $L' \in \Sigma_k^P$), our first attempt at Σ_{k+1}^P algorithm for L may be the following:

- Simulate M by using the first \exists for M 's nondeterminism.
- Use remaining k quantifiers for queries to L' .

The problem with this approach is that we can run out of quantifiers for answering the first query. The correct Σ_{k+1}^P simulation on input x is the following (by observing that M can make at most polynomially many queries to L'):

- We can guess all of M 's nondeterministic choices c_1, \dots, c_m , the correct sequence of queries q_1, \dots, q_k , and the answers $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{0, 1\}$ using a single \exists . (There are polynomially many.)
- Now we can verify that $M(x)$ would make the queries q_1, \dots, q_t given nondeterministic choices c_1, \dots, c_m and answers a_1, \dots, a_t .
- Next we can verify that $L'(q_i) = a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$ using the remaining k alternations (in parallel for all i).

Our claim follows. ■

Corollary 5 $\Sigma_{k+1}^P = \mathbf{NP}^{\Sigma_k^P} = \mathbf{NP}^{\Sigma_k \text{SAT}} = \mathbf{NP}^{\Pi_k^P}$

Proof Sketch: We can just flip the answer of the oracles. □

3 Time-Space Tradeoffs

Definition 6

$\mathbf{TISP}(T(n), S(n)) := \{L : L \text{ decided by TMs running in time } O(T(n)) \text{ and space } O(S(n))\}$.

Theorem 7 For all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\text{SAT} \notin \mathbf{TISP}(n^{1+o(1)}, n^{1-\varepsilon})$.

Remark 8 The above result also holds on a RAM model.

Lemma 9 For all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathbf{TISP}(T^{1+o(1)}, T^{1-\varepsilon}) \subseteq \Sigma_2 \mathbf{TIME}(T^{1-\varepsilon'})$ provided $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon/2$. Here $T = T(n)$ and $T(n)^{1-\varepsilon'} \geq n$ (time-constructible).

Proof of Lemma: The proof is similar to the proof of the result $\mathbf{PSPACE} \subseteq \mathbf{AP}$. Given M running in $\mathbf{TISP}(T^{1+o(1)}, T^{1-\varepsilon})$, $\Sigma_2 \mathbf{TIME}$ simulation on M will work as follows:

- \exists guesses a sequence of configurations $C_1, \dots, C_{T^{\varepsilon/2}}$. (takes time $T^{\varepsilon/2} \cdot T^{1-\varepsilon} < T^{1-\varepsilon'}$)
 - \forall_i verifies that $C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1}$ runs within $T^{1-\varepsilon'}$ steps and that $C_{T^{\varepsilon/2}}$ is accepting. (takes time $T^{1-\varepsilon'}$)
-

Proof of Theorem 7: Suppose $\text{SAT} \in \mathbf{TISP}(n^{1+o(1)}, n^{1-\varepsilon})$. This implies $\mathbf{NTIME}(n) \subseteq \mathbf{TISP}(n^{1+o(1)}, n^{1-\varepsilon'})$ since $\mathbf{NTIME}(n)$ reduces to SAT by reduction that runs in time $O(n \log n)$ and space $O(\log n)$. Now by translation, we get the first line of inclusion below

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{DTIME}(n^2) &\subseteq \mathbf{NTIME}(n^2) \subseteq \mathbf{TISP}(n^{2+o(1)}, n^{2-\varepsilon''}) \\ &\subseteq \Sigma_2 \mathbf{TIME}(n^{2-\varepsilon''}) \quad (\text{by Lemma}) \\ &\subseteq \mathbf{DTIME}(n^{2-\varepsilon''''}) \end{aligned}$$

The second inclusion is established by the lemma above. The third inclusion follows from

$$\mathbf{NTIME}(n) \subseteq \mathbf{DTIME}(f(n)) \implies \Sigma_k \mathbf{TIME}(t(n)) \subseteq \mathbf{DTIME}(f^{(k)}(t(n)))$$

and $f(n) = n^{1+o(1)} \implies f(f(n)) = n^{1+o(1)}$.

This contradicts the time hierarchy theorem! ■