

## Lecture 23: Conclusions &amp; Beyond

May 3, 2007

Based on scribe notes by xxx.

## 1 Conclusions

Some main points to take away from this course:

- There is strong evidence that randomized algorithms are not significantly more powerful than deterministic algorithms. However, we currently only know how to prove this in general (e.g.  $\mathbf{BPP} = \mathbf{P}$ ) based on other conjectures in complexity theory (the existence of sufficiently hard functions in  $\mathbf{E}$ ).
- The pseudorandom objects we studied have many other applications in theoretical computer science beyond simply eliminating randomness.
- There are deep connections between the pseudorandom objects, as reviewed more formally below.

We now present all of our main objects of study (expanders, extractors, samplers, list-decodable codes, and black-box PRG constructions) in the ‘list-decoding’ framework we used in Lecture Notes 16. All of these objects can be presented as functions  $\Gamma : [N] \times [D] \rightarrow [D] \times [M]$ . (In some cases, the output is more naturally viewed as a single element rather than a pair.) For a set  $T \subseteq [D] \times [M]$  and  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , we define  $\text{LIST}(T, \varepsilon) = \{x \in [N] : \Pr_y[\Gamma(x, y)] \geq \varepsilon\}$ .

Then all of our objects can be presented as follows.

### Expanders.

- $\Gamma(x, y)$  is the  $y$ 'th neighbor of  $x$ .
- Restrict to  $T$  of size less than  $KA$ , where  $A$  is the expansion factor.
- Require that for every such  $T$ ,  $|\text{LIST}(T, 1)| < K$ .

### Extractors.

- $\Gamma(x, y) = \text{Ext}(x, y)$ .
- Consider all sets  $T$ .
- Require that for every  $T$ ,  $|\text{LIST}(T, \mu(T) + \varepsilon)| < K$ , where  $k = \log K$  is (roughly) the min-entropy threshold for the extractor.

### Black-Box PRG Constructions.

- $\Gamma(x, y) = G^x(y)$  is the output of the PRG when  $x$  is the truth-table of the hard function and  $y$  is the seed.
- Consider all sets  $T$ .
- Require that each element of list  $\text{LIST}(T, \mu(T) + \varepsilon)$  can be efficiently locally decoded using an oracle to  $T$  and  $k = \log K$  bits of advice.

### List-Decodable Codes.

- $\Gamma(x, y) = (y, \text{Enc}(x)_y)$ .
- Restrict to  $T$  of the form  $T_r = \{(y, r(y)) : y \in [D]\}$  for a received word  $r : [D] \rightarrow [M]$ .
- Require that for every  $r$ , we have  $|\text{LIST}(T_r, 1/M + \varepsilon)| \leq K$ . Here  $K$  is the bound on list size.
- Typically we want decoding to be efficient, in the sense that given  $r$ , all of the elements of  $\text{LIST}(T_r, 1/M + \varepsilon)$  can be enumerated in polynomial time.

### Black-Box Worst-Case to Average-Case Constructions.

- $\Gamma(x, y)$  is  $\hat{f}(y)$ , where  $\hat{f}$  is the average-case-hard function constructed from the worst-case hard function  $f_x$  whose truth table is  $x$ .
- Restrict to  $T$  of the form  $T_r = \{(y, r(y)) : y \in [D]\}$  for a received word  $r : [D] \rightarrow [M]$ .
- Require that for every  $r$ , each element of list  $\text{LIST}(T_r, 1/M + \varepsilon)$  can be efficiently locally decoded using an oracle to  $r$  and  $k = \log K$  bits of advice.

In the rest of these notes, we survey some of the topics that we did not cover.

## 2 And Beyond

Some major topics we did not cover (to be surveyed in class):

- Are circuit lower bounds necessary for derandomization?
- Extractors and PRGs from Reed–Muller codes.
- Cryptographic pseudorandomness.
- Algebraic pseudorandomness.
- Hardness amplification.
- Derandomizing space-bounded computation.
- Deterministic extractors.