

## Problem Set 4

Assigned: Sun. Mar. 13, 2011

Due: Fri. Apr. 1, 2011 (1 PM sharp)

- You must *type* your solutions. L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, Microsoft Word, and plain ascii are all acceptable. Submit your solutions *via email* to `cs225-hw@seas.harvard.edu`. If you use L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, please submit both the compiled file (`.pdf`) and the source (`.tex`). Please name your files `PS4-yourlastname.*`.
- Strive for clarity and conciseness in your solutions, emphasizing the main ideas over low-level details. Do not despair if you cannot solve all the problems! Difficult problems are included to stimulate your thinking and for your enjoyment, not to overwork you. \*'ed problems are extra credit.

**Problem 5.1. (Limits of List Decoding)** Show that if there exists a  $q$ -ary code  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \Sigma^{\hat{n}}$  of rate  $\rho$  that is  $(\delta, L)$  list-decodable, then  $\rho \leq 1 - H_q(\delta, \hat{n}) + (\log_q L)/\hat{n}$

**Problem 5.2. (Concatenated Codes)** For codes  $\text{Enc}_1 : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \Sigma_1^{n_1}$  and  $\text{Enc}_2 : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2^{m_2}$ , their *concatenation*  $\text{Enc} : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \Sigma_2^{n_1 m_2}$  is defined by

$$\text{Enc}(m) = \text{Enc}_2(\text{Enc}_1(m)_1)\text{Enc}_2(\text{Enc}_1(m)_2) \cdots \text{Enc}_2(\text{Enc}_1(m)_{n_1}).$$

This is typically used as a tool for reducing alphabet size, e.g. with  $\Sigma_2 = \{0, 1\}$ .

1. Prove that if  $\text{Enc}_1$  has minimum distance  $\delta_1$  and  $\text{Enc}_2$  has minimum distance  $\delta_2$ , then  $\text{Enc}$  has minimum distance at least  $\delta_1 \delta_2$ .
2. Prove that if  $\text{Enc}_1$  is  $(1 - \varepsilon_1, \ell_1)$  list-decodable and  $\text{Enc}_2$  is  $(\delta_2, \ell_2)$  list-decodable, then  $\text{Enc}$  is  $((1 - \varepsilon_1 \ell_2) \cdot \delta_2, \ell_1 \ell_2)$  list-decodable.
3. By concatenating a Reed–Solomon code and a Hadamard code, show that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a (fully) explicit code  $\text{Enc} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\hat{n}}$  with blocklength  $\hat{n} = O(n^2/\varepsilon^2)$  with minimum distance at least  $1/2 - \varepsilon$ . Furthermore, show that with blocklength  $\hat{n} = \text{poly}(n, 1/\varepsilon)$ , we can obtain a code that is  $(1/2 - \varepsilon, \text{poly}(1/\varepsilon))$  list-decodable in *polynomial time*. (Hint: the inner code can be decoded by brute force.)

**Problem 5.6. (Improved list-decoding of Reed–Solomon Codes)**

1. Show that there is a polynomial-time algorithm for list-decoding the Reed–Solomon codes of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  up to distance  $1 - \sqrt{2d/q}$ , improving the  $1 - 2\sqrt{d/q}$  bound from lecture. (Hint: do not use fixed upper bounds on the individual degrees of the interpolating polynomial  $Q(Y, Z)$ , but rather allow as many monomials as possible.)

2. (\*) Improve the list-decoding radius further to  $1 - \sqrt{d/q}$  by using the following “method of multiplicities”. First, require the interpolating polynomial  $Q(Y, Z)$  to have a zero of multiplicity  $s$  at each point  $(y, r(y))$  — that is, the polynomial  $Q(Y + y, Z + r(y))$  should have no monomials of degree smaller than  $s$ . Second, use the fact that a univariate polynomial  $R(Y)$  of degree  $t$  can have at most  $t$  roots, counting multiplicities.

**Problem 5.7. (Twenty Questions)** In the game of 20 questions, an oracle has an arbitrary secret  $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and the aim is to determine the secret by asking the oracle as few yes/no questions about  $s$  as possible. It is easy to see that  $n$  questions are necessary and sufficient. Here we consider a variant where the oracle has two secrets  $s_1, s_2 \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , and can adversarially decide to answer each question according to either  $s_1$  or  $s_2$ . That is, for a question  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , the oracle may answer with either  $f(s_1)$  or  $f(s_2)$ . Here it turns out to be impossible to pin down either of the secrets with certainty, no matter how many questions we ask, but we can hope to compute a small list  $L$  of secrets such that  $|L \cap \{s_1, s_2\}| \neq \emptyset$ . (In fact,  $|L|$  can be made as small as 2.) This variant of twenty questions apparently was motivated by problems in Internet traffic routing.

1. Let  $\text{Enc} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\hat{n}}$  be a code such that every two codewords in  $\text{Enc}$  agree in at least a  $1/2 - \varepsilon$  fraction of positions and that  $\text{Enc}$  has a polynomial-time  $(1/4 + \varepsilon, \ell)$  list-decoding algorithm. Show how to solve the above problem in polynomial time by asking the  $\hat{n}$  questions  $\{f_i\}$  defined by  $f_i(x) = \text{Enc}(x)_i$ .
2. Taking  $\text{Enc}$  to be the code constructed in Problem 1, deduce that  $\hat{n} = \text{poly}(n)$  questions suffices.