

Today : Gröbner Basis

- ① Defn.
- ② Membership testing
- ③ Construction
- ④ Uniqueness

Ideal Membership Problem :

Given : $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Decide : Is $f_0 \in (f_1, \dots, f_m)$

~~————— x —————~~

Notation : \preceq - admissible ordering on monomials

$LT(f = \sum_d c_d x^d) = c_d x^d$ (leading Term)

$Lm(f) = x^d$ (leading monomial)

$LC(f) = c_d$ (leading coeff)

Defn. $g_1, \dots, g_t \in \mathcal{J}^\Delta(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ form a

Gröbner Basis if

$$(\text{LT}(g_1), \dots, \text{LT}(g_t)) = (\text{LT}(\mathcal{J}))$$

————— φ —————

Motivation:

Want a basis to be "explicitly"
representative.

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = x^d + P_1 \\ f_2 = x^d + P_2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow P_1 \text{ or } P_2 \text{ or} \\ \text{both must be} \\ \text{in G.B.}$$

II. Membership Testing

Defn: r is a weak remainder of p divided by f_1, \dots, f_m if

① $\exists q_1, \dots, q_m$ s.t. $p = \sum f_i q_i + r$

② No monomial of r is divisible by $LT(f_1), \dots, LT(f_m)$.

 ~~o~~

We will show eventually that weak remainder when divided by a Gröbner Basis is unique. First a simple claim

Claim: $x^a \in (x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}, \dots, x^{m_k})$

iff $\exists i$ s.t. $x^{m_i} \mid x^a$

Proof: Obvious

Lemma: Remainder wrt Gröbner basis is
unique.

Proof: Suppose $p = \sum a_i g_i + r_1 = \sum b_i g_i + r_2$

$$\& (LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_t)) = (LT(J))$$

where $J = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$

① $r_1 - r_2 \in J$

② $LT(r_1 - r_2) \in (LT(J)) = (LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_t))$

③ w.l.o.g. $LT(r_1 - r_2) \in r_1$

④ By Claim $\exists i$ st. $LT(g_i) \mid LT(r_1 - r_2)$
 $\Rightarrow \exists$ monomial of r_1 which is divisible

by $LT(g_i) \Rightarrow$ violation of w.r. defn.

Algorithm for testing membership given G.B.

how?

① Compute weak remainder of f_0 with f_1, \dots, f_m

② Accept iff w.r. = 0.

II.11: Aside: G.B. is a basis

lemma: if $f \in J$ then

$$\text{w.r. } (f; g_1 \dots g_t) = 0$$

Proof: Similar to last proof.

$$r \triangleq \text{w.r. } (f; g_1 \dots g_t) \in J$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{LT}(r) \in \text{LT}(J) \subseteq (\text{LT}(g_1) \dots \text{LT}(g_t))$$

\Rightarrow some monomial of r is divisible by $\text{LT}(g_i)$ for some i .

III: Construction of Gröbner Basis

Two new notions

Canonical Remainder ($f; h_1, \dots, h_e$)

Repeat { Pick highest monomial $m \in f$ st.
 $LT(h_i) \mid m$;
 $f \leftarrow f - \frac{m}{LT(h_i)} \cdot h_i$ }

until no such m exists ;
Output (f);

Syzygy

"Alignment of three celestial objects in a straight line"

$S(f, g) = \text{poly in } (f, g)$ obtained by minimal cancellation of leading terms.

$$= C_e \frac{M}{x^d} f - C_d \frac{M}{x^e} g$$

where $LT(f) = C_d x^d$; $LT(g) = C_e x^e$

$$M = \text{LCM}(x^d, x^e)$$



GB algorithm:

Input: $f_1 \dots f_m$

- $B = \{f_1 \dots f_m\}$

- while $\exists g, h \in B = \{b_1 \dots b_t\}$

$r \triangleq \text{Canonical Remainder}(S(g, h); b_1 \dots b_t)$

$\neq 0$

$B \leftarrow B \cup \{r\}$; continue

- report (B) ;

Analysis:

Will prove

At end \mathcal{B} is a gröbner basis.

~~r~~

Claim: Canonical remainder comes with

strong quotient; $r = \text{C.R.}(f; h_1, \dots, h_t)$

$\Rightarrow f = r + \sum_i m_i h_i$ with m_i being mon-

\triangle $\deg(m_i h_i) \leq \deg(f)$.

Lemma: if $\forall g_i, g_j \in \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$

$$\text{C.R.}(S(g_i, g_j); g_1, \dots, g_t) = 0$$

then $\forall f \in I(g_1, \dots, g_t)$

$$\text{LT}(f) \in I(\text{LT}(g_1), \dots, \text{LT}(g_t))$$

Proof:

Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j q_{i_j}$ where m_j 's are monomials

$$\textcircled{1} \deg(m_j q_{i_j}) \geq \deg(m_{j+1} q_{i_{j+1}})$$

$$\leftarrow \text{equality} \Rightarrow i_j < i_{j+1}$$

$\textcircled{2}$ $\deg(m_j q_{i_j})$ minimal, i_j smallest possible ;

given $m_1 q_{i_1}, \dots, m_{j-1} q_{i_{j-1}}$.

• Now, if $\deg(m_1 q_{i_1}) > \deg(m_2 q_{i_2})$

then we are done ;

• So assume $\deg(m_1 q_{i_1}) = \deg(m_2 q_{i_2})$;

Also wlog $i_1 = 1, i_2 = 2$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{LT}(m_1 g_1) = \text{LT}(m_2 g_2)$$

Now consider $S(g_1, g_2) = a_1 g_1 - a_2 g_2$

(a_1, a_2 are monomials)

$$\text{Since } \text{LT}(m_1 g_1) = \text{LT}(m_2 g_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow m_1 = w \cdot a_1 \quad \& \quad m_2 = w \cdot a_2$$

$$\Rightarrow m_1 g_1 - m_2 g_2 = w \cdot S(g_1, g_2)$$

By termination condition

$$S(g_1, g_2) = \sum q_i r_i$$

$$\text{with } \deg(q_i r_i) < \deg(a_1 g_1)$$

$$\text{So } m_1 g_1 - m_2 g_2 = \sum w q_i r_i$$

$$\text{with } \deg(w q_i r_i) < \deg(m_1 g_1)$$

So $m_2 g_2 = m_1 g_1 + \text{lesser order terms.}$
Contradicts (2)

