

Lecture 2

Note Title

1/28/2016

TODAY

- Definition of Entropy
(also prob. notation, conditional entropy)
- Compression
 - Asymptotic (n-shot)
 - Single-shot
 - Prior free Asymptotic

Definition of Entropy

Needs Probability notation

In this course Prob \equiv Prob. over finite sets.

$$\Omega = \{1, \dots, k\}$$

Prob. distribution P on $\Omega = (P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k))$

- Often speak of random variable $X \sim P$
- Often use P_x to denote distribution of X
- Similarly P_{xy}, P_y etc.
- Also (if distinguishable with my handwriting) use X to denote r.v.,
 \uparrow
caps x to denote instantiation
 \uparrow
lower case

Some basic Calculus: (Bayes rule etc.)

- Say $(X, Y) \sim P_{xy}$
- So $P_{xy}(a, b) \triangleq P_Y[X=a, Y=b]$
- $P_X(a) = \sum_b P_{xy}(a, b)$; $P_Y(b)$ similar
- $P_{X|Y}(a) = \frac{P_{xy}(a, b)}{P_Y(b)}$

$P_{X|Y} \triangleq$ Notation! represents $\{P_{X|Y}(a|b)\}_b$

Definition of Entropy

• $X \sim P_x$ has Entropy.

$$H(x) \triangleq \sum_{a \in \Omega} P_x(a) \log_2 \frac{1}{P_x(a)}$$

• $H(x|y) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_b [H(x|y=b)]$

$$= \sum_b P_y(b) \cdot H(x|y=b)$$

————— y —————

Where does $H(x)$ come from?

- Diff answers from diff sources

- To me ... Stirling's formula.

$$n! \approx \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$$

Asymptotic Compression Problem

Given P_x on $\Omega = \{1, \dots, k\}$

Sender gets X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n i.i.d. with
 $X_i \sim P_x$

- Sender wishes to send $C(X_1 \dots X_n)$ to

$$C: \Omega^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{l_n} \quad \text{receiver}$$

- Receiver receives $W = C(X_1 \dots X_n)$

↳ decompresses

$$D: \{0,1\}^{l_n} \rightarrow \Omega^n$$

- Want to minimize l_n s.t.

$$P_{\text{err}} = P_r [D(C(X_1 \dots X_n)) \neq (X_1 \dots X_n)] \leq \epsilon$$

Asymptotic Compression Rate

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{l_n}{n} \right\}$$

where l_n s.t. $\exists E, D$ s.t. $P_{\text{err}} \leq \epsilon$

Theorem [Shannon '48]

$\forall P_x$, Asymptotic Compression Rate = $H(X)$

Proof: (Special case $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$)

$$P_x = (1-p, p)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ P_x(0), P_x(1) \end{array} \right)$$

Need to show

① $\forall \epsilon \exists n_0$ $\exists E, D$ with $l_n \leq (1 + o(1)) \cdot H(p) \cdot n$
 $\forall n \geq n_0$ s.t. $P_{\text{err}} \leq \epsilon$

② $\forall \epsilon \forall$ suff. large n , $\forall E, D$ w. $l_n \leq (1 - o(1)) \cdot H(p) \cdot n$.
 $P_{\text{err}} \geq 1 - \epsilon$

- (Elementary) "Structural" Observation

w.h.p. $\geq (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ # 1's in $X_1 \dots X_n$
 $\in [(p-\delta)n, (p+\delta)n]$

($\forall \epsilon, \delta, \forall$ suff. large n)

[Chernoff Bounds ...]

- Assume (with $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ loss of generality)

Ⓐ # 1's = $t \in [(p-\delta)n, (p+\delta)n]$

Ⓑ Receiver knows t

↳ for upper bound, can transmit t for cost $\log n$
①
↳ for lower bound, assumption strengthens receiver.

- Under Assumption:

Sender enumerates all $\binom{n}{t}$ possibilities of

$(X_1 \dots X_n)$; ϵ sends the right index for achieved sequence.

How many possibilities?

$$\log \binom{n}{t} \approx \log \binom{n}{pn} \approx H(p) \cdot n !$$

(Essentially Proves ①)

6 Under Same Assumption if

$$\frac{2^{ln}}{2^{H(p) \cdot n}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{Then } \dots$$

$$\Pr [\text{any single message}] \leq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}}$$

$$\Pr [\text{correct decoding}] \leq 2^{ln} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

General Distributions P_x

(AEP): Asymptotic Equipartition Property

$\forall P_x \forall \epsilon \forall$ sufficiently large n

(x_1, \dots, x_n) almost uniformly chosen from
universe of size $2^{H(x) \cdot n}$

More formally:

$$\exists S \subseteq \Omega^n, \quad |S| \leq 2^{(1+\epsilon) \cdot H(x) \cdot n}$$

$$\bullet \Pr[(x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin S] \leq \epsilon$$

\bullet and $\forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S$

$$\Pr[(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)] \leq \frac{2^{\epsilon(n)}}{|S|}$$

AEP \Rightarrow [Shannon] Exercise

Why is AEP true? Whittew $H(x)$?

let $P_x = (P_1, \dots, P_k)$

- When n large, Expect to see

roughly $\left. \begin{array}{l} P_1 n \quad 1's \\ P_2 n \quad 2's \\ \vdots \\ P_k n \quad k's \end{array} \right\} \text{ in } (X_1, \dots, X_n)$

- # such (X_1, \dots, X_n)

$$\approx \binom{n}{P_1 n, P_2 n, \dots, P_k n}$$

↑
multinomial coefficient

$$\approx 2^{H(x) \cdot n} \quad [\text{Stirling!}]$$

Asymptotic vs. Single-Shot Compression

Single-Shot Problem Defn

Sender: $X \sim P_x$; $C: \Omega \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$ Prefix-free!
↑
variable length!

Receiver: $W = C(x)$; $D: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \Omega$

Want: $\forall x \in \Omega \ D(C(x)) = x$

Objective: $\min_{C} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_x} [|C(x)|]$

Differences: - Error-free

- Variable length

- Expected length minimization

- Prefix-free = ? (What? Why?)

• $C: \Omega \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$ is prefix-free if

$\forall x \neq y \in \Omega$

$C(x)$ not a prefix of $C(y)$

• Why? Sol'n to single-shot \Rightarrow sol'n to n-shot.

Kraft Inequality

Fix $\{l_x\}_{x \in \Omega}$.

Say C has pattern $\{l_x\}$ if

$$|C(x)| = l_x \quad \forall x \in \Omega$$

Lemma [Kraft?]: \exists prefix-free C with

$$\text{pattern } \{l_x\} \Leftrightarrow \sum_x \frac{1}{2^{l_x}} \leq 1$$

Proof: let $l = \max_n l_n$

Existence Pick $C(1), C(2), \dots$ greedily.

- if $C(1) = 010$; eliminate all extensions

- Claim: Each choice rules out $\frac{1}{2^{l_x}}$ fraction of length l strings.

- So as long as $\sum \frac{1}{2^{l_x}} < 1 \exists$ one string

a) each length uneliminated.

Converse

- Pick random l bit string w
- Let $E_x =$ event that $C(x) =$ prefix of w
- $E_x, E_y =$ mutually exclusive
- $\Pr[E_x] = \frac{1}{2^{l_x}}$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_x \frac{1}{2^{l_x}} = \sum_x \Pr[E_x] \leq 1$$



Kraft \Rightarrow Shannon 1-Shot?

$$\text{- let } l_x = \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{P_x(x)} \right\rceil$$

$$\sum 2^{-l_x} \leq \sum 2^{-\log \frac{1}{P_x(x)}} \leq \sum P(x) \leq 1$$

So Kraft \Rightarrow prefix-free C exists.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{- But } \mathbb{E}_x [l_x] &\leq \mathbb{E}_x \left[1 + \log \frac{1}{P_x(x)} \right] \\ &\leq 1 + H(P) \end{aligned}$$

- Lower Bound for 1-shot Compression?

Two approaches:

① Invoke n -shot lower bound + ...
[works, details omitted but you can do it.]

② Consider $q_x = 2^{-L_x}$ & show

$$\sum p_x \log \frac{1}{q_x} \geq \sum p_x \log \frac{1}{p_x}$$

↑

[will consider this in later lectures]

In fact

$$\sum p_x \left[\log \frac{1}{q_x} - \log \frac{1}{p_x} \right] \text{ is}$$

important measure in

Information Theory.

Next Lecture

- Axioms of Information
- Basic Inequalities



Future lectures

Topic 1: Entropy & Counting

[Need Volunteers / Presenters]

Topic 2: Entropy & Hypothesis Testing

[Need to collect papers]



Source for today's lecture [Kraft \Leftarrow Tulsiani
course]