

## Problem Set 0

Assigned: Mon. Jan. 25, 2010

Due: Thu. Feb. 4, 2010 (5 PM sharp)

- You must *type* your solutions. L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, Microsoft Word, and plain ascii are all acceptable. Submit your solutions *via email* to `cs221-hw@seas.harvard.edu`. If you use L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, please submit both the compiled file (`.ps`) and the source (`.tex`). Please name your files `PS0-yourlastname.*`.
- Strive for clarity and conciseness in your solutions, emphasizing the main ideas over low-level details. Do not despair if you cannot solve all the problems! Difficult problems are included to stimulate your thinking and for your enjoyment, not to overwork you. We'll try to mark harder problems with a `*`.

Any students who have not completed CS121 or equivalent with a grade of B+ or higher are *required* to complete this problem set (on time, with no late days). Other students are encouraged to solve the problems for review and submit solutions for feedback.

**Problem 1. (NP-completeness)** In the BOUNDED HALTING problem, we are given a pair  $(M, 1^t)$  where  $M$  is a Turing machine and  $t$  is an integer and have to decide whether there exists an input (of length at most  $t$ ) on which  $M$  halts within  $t$  steps.

Show that BOUNDED HALTING is **NP**-complete.

**Problem 2. (co-NP)** Let  $\text{co-NP} = \{L : \bar{L} \in \text{NP}\}$ , the class of languages whose complement is in **NP**.

1. Show that a language  $L$  is complete for **NP** iff  $\bar{L}$  is complete for **co-NP**. (Here completeness is with respect to poly-time mapping reductions, aka Karp reductions.)
2. Show that if  $\text{NP} \neq \text{co-NP}$ , then  $\text{P} \neq \text{NP}$ .
3. Let  $\text{TAUTOLOGY} = \{\phi : \phi \text{ a boolean formula s.t. } \forall a, \phi(a) = 1\}$ . Show that TAUTOLOGY is **co-NP**-complete.

**Problem 3. (Why Languages?)**

1. Show that for every function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ , there is a language  $L$  such that  $f$  is computable in polynomial time if and only if  $L \in \text{P}$ .
2. A *search problem* is a mapping  $S$  from strings ("instances") to sets of strings ("valid solutions"). An algorithm  $M$  solves a search problem  $S$  if for every input  $x$  such that  $S(x) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $M$  outputs some solution in  $S(x)$ . An **NP search problem** is a search problem  $S$  such that there exists a polynomial  $p$  and a polynomial-time algorithm  $V$  such that for every  $x, y$ :

- $y \in S(x) \Rightarrow |y| \leq p(|x|)$  and
- $y \in S(x) \iff V \text{ accepts } \langle x, y \rangle$

It is widely believed that there is no polynomial-time algorithm for integer factorization. Under this assumption and also using the fact that PRIMES is in **P**, exhibit two **NP** search problems  $S$  and  $T$  such that the corresponding languages,  $\{x : S(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\{x : T(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ , are identical yet  $S$  is solvable in polynomial time and  $T$  is not.

3. An **NP optimization problem** is given by a polynomial-time computable *objective function*  $\text{Obj} : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\geq 0}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}^{\geq 0}$  is the set of nonnegative rational numbers and  $\text{Obj}(x, y) = +\infty$  if  $|y| > p(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $p$ . The problem is: given an input  $x$ , find  $y$  minimizing  $\text{Obj}(x, y)$ . An example is the problem of finding the shortest tour in an instance of the TRAVELLING SALESMAN PROBLEM.

Prove that the following are equivalent:

- **P** = **NP**
- Every **NP** search problem can be solved in polynomial time.
- Every **NP** optimization problem can be solved in polynomial time.