

## Problem Set 3

Assigned: Fri. Feb. 25, 2011

Due: Fri. Mar. 11, 2011 (1 PM sharp)

- You must *type* your solutions. L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, Microsoft Word, and plain ascii are all acceptable. Submit your solutions *via email* to `cs225-hw@seas.harvard.edu`. If you use L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, please submit both the compiled file (`.pdf`) and the source (`.tex`). Please name your files `PS3-yourlastname.*`.
- Strive for clarity and conciseness in your solutions, emphasizing the main ideas over low-level details. Do not despair if you cannot solve all the problems! Difficult problems are included to stimulate your thinking and for your enjoyment, not to overwork you. \*'ed problems are extra credit.

**Problem 4.2. (More Combinatorial Consequences of Spectral Expansion)** Let  $G$  be a graph on  $N$  vertices with spectral expansion  $\gamma = 1 - \lambda$ . Prove that:

1. The *independence number*  $\alpha(G)$  is at most  $(\lambda/(1 + \lambda))N$ , where  $\alpha(G)$  is defined to be the size of the largest independent set, i.e. subset  $S$  of vertices s.t. there are no edges with both endpoints in  $S$ .
2. The *chromatic number*  $\chi(G)$  is at least  $(1 + \lambda)/\lambda$ , where  $\chi(G)$  is defined to be the smallest number of colors for which the vertices of  $G$  can be colored s.t. all pairs of adjacent vertices have different colors.
3. The *diameter* of  $G$  is  $O(\log_{1/\lambda} N)$ .

Recall that computing  $\alpha(G)$  and  $\chi(G)$  exactly are **NP**-complete problems. However, the above shows that for expanders, nontrivial bounds on these quantities can be computed in polynomial time.

**Problem 4.5. (Near-Optimal Sampling)** Describe an algorithm for SAMPLING that tosses  $O(m + \log(1/\varepsilon) + \log(1/\delta))$  coins, makes  $O((1/\varepsilon^2) \cdot \log(1/\delta))$  queries to a function  $f : \{0, 1\}^m \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , and estimates  $\mu(f)$  to within  $\pm\varepsilon$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ . (Hint: use expander walks to generate several sequences of coin tosses for the pairwise-independent averaging sampler, and compute the answer via a “median of averages”.) It turns out that these bounds on the randomness and query/sample complexities are each optimal up to constant factors (for most parameter settings of interest).

**Problem 4.6. (Error Reduction For Free)\*** Show that if a problem has a **BPP** algorithm with constant error probability, then it has a **BPP** algorithm with error probability  $1/n$  that uses *exactly* the same number of random bits.

**Problem 4.8. (The Replacement Product)** Given a  $D_1$ -regular graph  $G_1$  on  $N_1$  vertices and a  $D_2$ -regular graph  $G_2$  on  $D_1$  vertices, consider the following graph  $G_1 \textcircled{F} G_2$  on vertex set  $[N_1] \times [D_1]$ : vertex  $(u, i)$  is connected to  $(v, j)$  iff (a)  $u = v$  and  $(i, j)$  is an edge in  $G_2$ , or (b)  $v$  is the  $i$ 'th neighbor of  $u$  in  $G_1$  and  $u$  is the  $j$ 'th neighbor of  $v$ . That is, we “replace” each vertex  $v$  in  $G_1$  with a copy of  $G_2$ , associating each edge incident to  $v$  with one vertex of  $G_2$ .

1. Prove that there is a function  $g$  such that if  $G_1$  has spectral expansion  $\gamma_1 > 0$  and  $G_2$  has spectral expansion  $\gamma_2 > 0$  (and both graphs are undirected), then  $G_1 \textcircled{F} G_2$  has spectral expansion  $g(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, D_2) > 0$ . (Hint: Note that  $(G_1 \textcircled{F} G_2)^3$  has  $G_1 \textcircled{Z} G_2$  as a subgraph.)
2. Show how to convert an explicit construction of constant-degree (spectral) expanders into an explicit construction of degree 3 (spectral) expanders.
3. Without using Theorem 4.14, prove an analogue of Part 1 for edge expansion. That is, there is a function  $h$  such that if  $G_1$  is an  $(N_1/2, \varepsilon_1)$  edge expander and  $G_2$  is a  $(D_1/2, \varepsilon_2)$  edge expander, then  $G_1 \textcircled{F} G_2$  is a  $(N_1 D_1/2, h(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, D_2))$  edge expander, where  $h(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, D_2) > 0$  if  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ . (Hint: given any set  $S$  of vertices of  $G_1 \textcircled{F} G_2$ , partition  $S$  into the clouds that are more than “half-full” and those that are not.)
4. Prove that the functions  $g(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, D_2)$  and  $h(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, D_2)$  must depend on  $D_2$ , by showing that  $G_1 \textcircled{F} G_2$  cannot be a  $(N_1 D_1/2, \varepsilon)$  edge expander if  $\varepsilon > 1/(D_2 + 1)$  and  $N_1 \geq 2$ .

**Problem 4.9. (Unbalanced Vertex Expanders and Data Structures)** Consider a  $(K, (1 - \varepsilon)D)$  bipartite vertex expander  $G$  with  $N$  left vertices,  $M$  right vertices, and left degree  $D$ .

1. For a set  $S$  of left vertices, a  $y \in N(S)$  is called a *unique neighbor* of  $S$  if  $y$  is incident to exactly one edge from  $S$ . Prove that every left-set  $S$  of size at most  $K$  has at least  $(1 - 2\varepsilon)D|S|$  unique neighbors.
2. For a set  $S$  of size at most  $K/2$ , prove that at most  $|S|/2$  vertices outside  $S$  have at least  $\delta D$  neighbors in  $N(S)$ , for  $\delta = O(\varepsilon)$ .

Now we'll see a beautiful application of such expanders to data structures. Suppose we want to store a small subset  $S$  of a large universe  $[N]$  such that we can test membership in  $S$  by probing just 1 bit of our data structure. A trivial way to achieve this is to store the characteristic vector of  $S$ , but this requires  $N$  bits of storage. The hashing-based data structures mentioned in Section 3.5.3 only require storing  $O(|S|)$  words, each of  $O(\log N)$  bits, but testing membership requires reading an entire word (rather than just one bit.)

Our data structure will consist of  $M$  bits, which we think of as a  $\{0, 1\}$ -assignment to the right vertices of our expander. This assignment will have the following property.

**Property II:** For all left vertices  $x$ , all but a  $\delta = O(\varepsilon)$  fraction of the neighbors of  $x$  are assigned the value  $\chi_S(x)$  (where  $\chi_S(x) = 1$  iff  $x \in S$ ).

3. Show that if we store an assignment satisfying Property II, then we can probabilistically test membership in  $S$  with error probability  $\delta$  by reading just one bit of the data structure.
4. Show that an assignment satisfying Property II exists provided  $|S| \leq K/2$ . (Hint: first assign 1 to all of  $S$ 's neighbors and 0 to all its nonneighbors, then try to correct the errors.)

It turns out that the needed expanders exist with  $M = O(K \log N)$  (for any constant  $\varepsilon$ ), so the size of this data structure matches the hashing-based scheme while admitting (randomized) 1-bit probes. However, note that such bipartite vertex expanders do *not* follow from explicit spectral expanders as given in Theorem 4.39, because the latter do not provide vertex expansion beyond  $D/2$  nor do they yield highly imbalanced expanders (with  $M \ll N$ ) as needed here. But in Chapter 5, we will see how to explicitly construct expanders that are quite good for this application (specifically, with  $M = K^{1.01} \cdot \text{polylog}N$ ).